# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1165-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 707

Subject: Agriculture and Animals; Disabilities

Type: Original

Date: March 29, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the laws regarding the crime of causing injury to or

the death of a service dog.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

2015	FY 2016
40	\$0
	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1165-01 Bill No. HB 707 Page 2 of 5 March 29, 2013

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u>				
Federal Funds	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1165-01 Bill No. HB 707 Page 3 of 5 March 29, 2013

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

# **ASSUMPTION**

## § 209.202 - Service Dogs:

Officials at the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assumes the penalty provisions, the component of the bill to have potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for up to a class D felony. Currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

DOC states if additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase of direct offender costs either through incarceration (FY12 average of \$17.06 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$6,227 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY12 average of \$4.96 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,810 per offender).

DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders.

DOC assumes the low felony status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or imposition of a probation sentence

DOC assumes the probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar but more serious offense or that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

DOC states supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

**Oversight** assumes DOC can absorb any costs that may result from this proposal.

Officials at the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent clients are faced with the enhanced penalties for injuring, killing, or permits a dog that he or she owns to injure or to ill a service animal. The penalty is enhanced to a Class D felony.

SPD assumes while the number of new cases may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation.

KB:LR:OD

L.R. No. 1165-01 Bill No. HB 707 Page 4 of 5 March 29, 2013

# ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture**, **Department of Public Safety - Missouri State Highway Patrol**, **Office of State Courts Administrator** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from Office of Prosecution Services did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes this proposal will not result in any direct fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

KB:LR:OD

L.R. No. 1165-01 Bill No. HB 707 Page 5 of 5 March 29, 2013

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Agriculture
Department of Public Safety Missouri State Highway Patrol
Office of State Courts Administrator
State Public Defender's Office
Department of Corrections

# **Not Responding:**

Office of Prosecution Services

Ross Strope Acting Director March 29, 2013

Con Ada